

Jill O. Gibson, OSB #973581  
jgibson@lynchconger.com  
Benjamin R. Becker, OSB #103358  
bbecker@lynchconger.com  
**LYNCH CONGER LLP**  
15350 SW Sequoia Pkwy, Ste 250  
Portland, Oregon 97224  
Telephone: (541) 383-5857  
Fax: (541) 383-3968

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PORTLAND DIVISION

**JANET NEWCOMB**, an individual;  
**JERRY MASON**, an individual; and  
**METRO MULTIFAMILY HOUSING**  
**ASSOCIATION**, an Oregon nonprofit  
organization, dba, **MULTIFAMILY NW**,

Plaintiffs,

v.

**CITY OF PORTLAND**, an Oregon  
municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: 3:20-cv-00294

**DECLARATION OF  
JERRY MASON**

I, Jerry Mason, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in the above-captioned matter. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge. The following statements are true and correct and, if called upon, I could competently testify to the facts averred herein.
2. This declaration sets out my concerns about the “FAIR” laws passed by Portland on June 19, 2019.

3. I have brokered, managed, built and owned apartments in greater the Portland - Vancouver area since 1976. Through various iterations my company is now Westland Partner's LLC. Currently we own and manage a 32-unit purchased in 1997 and a 30-unit purchased in 2013 that are inside Portland city limits.

4. I learned early on that in order to survive I had to clearly understand who the king is. It's our residents. If we do not provide clean, safe, well managed and suitable housing at a price they can afford, they will choose to live elsewhere. And believe me, they are mobile. If we do not get all that right, we cannot pay all the bills and mortgage.

5. The FAIR Ordinances are irrational and "gotcha" regulations. They are the last straw for one of my partners, who is now putting my property on the market due to concerns about values first not going up and second expecting them to diminish. They see better private capital investing opportunities elsewhere.

6. The big losers are the residents. It is collateral damage that hits the very people the proponents of the punitive screening process believe they are helping.

7. Private investment capital and lending capital, which creates the lion's share of Portland's housing, is almost as mobile as renters. Capital wants to stay in markets they are established in. However, they cannot afford to stay in a hostile one unless they change terms that offset their perceived and real risks.

8. A 72-hour (3-day) block for processing applications. What does that mean? Can I not talk to applicants or talk but give no details? When does the 72 hours start? For 3 days I must have the property off the market.

9. An 8-hour penalty for early applications. If I have a waiting list for the next vacancy, are they ineligible because they are "early applications"? If I have a current resident

who wants a different unit when it comes up, are they ineligible, or go to the back of the line? The new laws are not clear. I am running a business. Every day that rent is lost is an expense with no benefit to offset it.

10. Security deposits. The labor cost required to itemize and depreciate every single item in a unit is not worth it, especially given the risk of being sued for violating gotcha requirements. Owners might have to eliminate deposits and turn to an insurance policy to cover that expense. It is a quantifiable expense that can be added to all rents. This puts all residents paying to cover the position that created the problem.

11. ID offered with applications. Who exactly are the people I am lending a \$100,000 property to? Valid governmental ID is required to check into a hotel room, rent a car, or borrow \$100,000 from a bank. A guy comes to your door and asks to rent your car or garage – that's okay, but wouldn't you want to know, as best you can, who he is and how to contact him if needed?

12. Government should not be able to force me to give notices to residents in my property, especially if it has to do with how to sue me.

13. If the person I rented to, according to the modest ID given, is sent notice, how do I know if proper notice was given? What is considered proper notice, under what conditions is it considered as served?

14. Penalties and financial burdens are tied to confusing, incomplete, and inconsistent codes, rules, laws, etc. It leads to irresponsible litigation and expense even if the owner or management company wins the case. Some call it just the cost of doing business. However, those costs and expenses of minimizing those costs get reflected in the operating expenses that have to be covered by income rent levels.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 20, 2020.

  
Jerry Mason